THREE KINDS OF WRONG: PRICING YOUR ERRORS IN R

Vajgrt Labs - Education - Lesson 62 of 72 - 8 min read - Updated Oct 21, 2026

TL;DR

- PLAN ERROR - the trade should never have existed, or its page was wrong before entry: a revenge entry, a structure matched to the wrong regime. Fixed by changing the PAGE - plan sentences, circuit-breakers, pre-trade checks. EXECUTION ERROR - the plan was right and the hands disobeyed: early entries, bent doors, oversize. Fixed by GUARDS - triggers read aloud, sizes locked to the division. MARKET TUITION - the plan was followed in full and the market collected the priced risk: not an error at all, and fixed by nothing, because nothing is broken.

- Priced on the sample, the taxonomy is the diagnosis: plan -1.00R (one trade), execution -2.28R (four trades), tuition -1.00R (two trades), clean wins +1.43R. The sample's deficit is not a strategy deficit: strip the two error kinds and the same trades net +0.43R. A trader who can't separate the kinds will apply the wrong surgery - rewriting plans for hand failures, adding checklists for plan failures, and 'fixing' tuition until the method itself is gone.

- Psychology enters here - not from a bias list, but from your own tagged sequences: the disposition effect shows as YOUR door-bends; overconfidence as YOUR size creep in the trades after wins; revenge as YOUR plan errors in the sessions after losses. Stage 0 named these patterns in the abstract; your journal is where they stop being abstract. This lesson reads them off the sample's sequences, and the practice reads them off yours.

THE TAKEAWAYS

- The diagnostics - how a loss is assigned its kind at the review, in order: (1) Was there a written plan, and did the trade match its page (setup, regime, trigger)? If the trade shouldn't have existed at all - no page, wrong regime, a plan sentence that names a feeling - it is a PLAN error, however clean the clicking was. (2) The page was right: did the hands obey it - entry at the trigger, size at the division, exits at the doors? Any 'no' makes it an EXECUTION error, priced at what the disobedience cost where that's priceable. (3) Page right, hands obedient, loss anyway: TUITION - the market collected the risk the plan had printed. The order of the questions matters: a trade cannot be tuition until it has survived both audits, which is why 'the market was unfair' is a conclusion the ledger reaches last, not first.

- The fixes are kind-specific, and cross-kind fixes are how traders churn: plan errors are fixed on paper - the plan sentence rewritten, the circuit-breaker installed (Stage 8), the regime line made a pre-trade check; NO checklist at the ticket window fixes a trade whose conception was the violation (the sample's #6 would have passed any entry checklist - its strikes were fine, its size was the division's; what was wrong was its EXISTENCE). Execution errors are fixed with guards that make obedience physical - the trigger read aloud from the page, the size copied from the division line rather than typed from hope, exits written on the ticket at entry. Tuition is fixed with a budget line and a steady nerve: it is the rent the method pays for its wins, and the rent is reviewed (is it roughly what the plan priced?) rather than resisted.

- The sample, priced in full (the graphic): PLAN -1.00R - #6 alone, the revenge entry. EXECUTION -2.28R - #5 early entry -0.70R, #9 door-bend +0.02R, #10 oversize -1.00R, #12 early entry -0.60R: four trades, three pattern words, one shelf of fixes. TUITION -1.00R - #4 and #8, two clean losses at their written doors, the shelf credit's and the stays-up's ordinary rent. CLEAN

WINS +1.43R - five trades. And the cross-check that keeps the taxonomy honest: plan + execution = -3.28R = the BAD trades' total from the process grades; wins + tuition = +0.43R = the GOOD trades' total. Two independent partitions of the same twelve lines agreeing to the cent - that is what 'the arithmetic foots' means at the level of kinds.

- Psychology, read off sequences - the sample's three exhibits: (1) DISPOSITION EFFECT - Stage 0's pattern (winners sold too early, losers held too long) appears in trade #9's costume: a winner held PAST its written profit door 'for the rest', giving back +0.13R of door value to close at +0.02R - the effect's winner-side, filed as an execution bend. Its loser-side twin doesn't appear in the sample; your log is where you watch for your own version, on whichever side it lives. (2) OVERCONFIDENCE AFTER WINS - trade #10's oversize sits two trades after the sample's biggest win (#7, +0.51R): the sequence is noted, not diagnosed - one instance is a hypothesis for the weekly review to watch, not a character verdict. (3) REVENGE - trade #6, entered the session #5's loss closed, its plan sentence recoverable from the feelings file: 'wanted it back by Friday'. The method throughout: patterns are claimed from YOUR tagged sequences, with counts, or not claimed at all.

RULE: Price every loss before you forgive it: plan, hands, or rent. Fix the first two; pay the third without flinching.

COMMON MISTAKES

- Filing every loss as tuition. The ledger balances, nothing is fixable, and the leak gets tenure at full price - tuition is a conclusion the audit reaches LAST, with paperwork.

- Filing plan errors as execution ('just sloppy'). A revenge trade passes an entry checklist on its way to -1.00R; its disease was conception, and checklists don't treat conception - plan sentences and circuit-breakers do.

- 'Fixing' tuition - retuning a setup because its clean losses hurt. That is plan-churn: the method gets dismantled by its own rent, and next month's clean line has nothing left to be clean on.

- Claiming psychology from a bias list instead of from sequences. 'I have a disposition effect' is unfalsifiable; 'my last three door-bends were all winners held past the mark' is a finding - with trades attached.

DO IT - PRICE YOUR OWN LEDGER

Take every loss in your paper log (and every bent-door winner - they belong in this exercise) and run the audit in order: plan first (should this trade have existed, on its page, in that regime?), hands second (trigger, division, doors - which one disobeyed?), tuition last (both audits passed in writing). Price each kind in R and in dollars, and cross-check: plan + execution must equal your BAD trades' total; tuition + clean wins must equal your GOOD trades' total. Then write the two sentences: which kind owns your deficit, and which shelf its fix comes from - page, guards, or budget line. If a loss can't be classified from its entry, the entry was under-written: that finding goes on the list too. Paper only.

Education only - not financial advice. Stage 9's worked examples are a labeled sample log - the course's example numbers, built on Stages 7-8's own structures (the 765/760 put credit spread; the 773/775 and 780/785 call debit verticals at their printed fills) on a $25,000 paper account - marked EXAMPLE on the page and on every graphic; every tally is arithmetic on that sample's printed figures. The PlayBook and daily/weekly review methods are credited to SMB Capital's published method (Bellafiore, One Good Trade; The PlayBook), taught here in the course's own words and

formats; no SMB material is reproduced and no endorsement is implied. Vajgrt Labs - Education.